

## Milling tests with the FRITSCH Planetary Ball Mills

### Comminution of mineral fertilizers down to nanoparticles

#### Introduction

- ▲ Nanotechnology widely deals with nanoscale particle between 1-100 nm<sup>1</sup>.
- ▲ Alternative definition of nano fertilizer (larger particle size): fertilizer which particle size is smaller than 500 nm and altered properties<sup>2</sup>.
- ▲ Nanoparticles can be synthesized or produced by physical, chemical, biological and aerosol techniques. Physical synthesis methods include sedimentation processes, rotor speed mills, high energy ball mills and mixer mills.
- ▲ In general, phosphorus (P) nanoparticles are prepared by purifying rock phosphate and grinding them with a high energy ball mill or mixer mill smaller than 500 nm and altered properties<sup>2</sup>.
- ▲ This report describes the experimental trial and results of mineral fertilizer grinding using FRITSCH *premium line* Planetary Mills (High Energy Ball Mills) to obtain nanosize particles.

#### Methodology

##### Fertilizer samples:

There are two kind of mineral fertilizer obtained, processed and tested and comminuted using a high energy ball mill at the FRITSCH Laboratory in the - German Center, Singapore, which consisted of:

1. Rock phosphate (ground)
2. Kieserite (granular)



Fig. 1: Mineral fertilizer tested using high energy Ball Mills - (Planetary Mills)

##### Laboratory Mills and Particle Size Analyzers

The laboratory mill used for the trials was the FRITSCH **Planetary Micro Mill PULVERISETTE 7 premium line** equipped with the following items:

- ▲ Grinding Bowls (2 x 80 ml)
- ▲ Grinding Balls (25 x 10 mm)
- ▲ Grinding Balls (5 x 20 mm)

Another laboratory instrument used was the Laser Particle Sizer (PSA) **ANALYSETTE 22**, that can measure particle size distribution with a range of 0.01 – 3800 µm.



Fig. 2: Planetary Micro Mill PULVERISETTE 7 premium line



Fig. 3: Particle Sizing ANALYSETTE 22 and a Cross Beater Mill PULVERISETTE 16

### Experimental Trials

Grinding tests of the fertilizer samples using Planetary Mills were conducted at the FRITSCH office and laboratory of the German Center in Singapore. Mr. Diels Ding as an expert in grinding processes, as well as Business Manager for Asia Pacific – Fritsch GmbH directly conducted the trials. He also provided informative insights and a meaningful discussion ensued about grinding and milling processes and nanomaterial. The samples were tested in dry and wet conditions for the milling process, with the following description:

**Table 1: Grinding trial of the fertilizer samples with various parameters**

No	Sample	Milling Type	Time (min)	Code	Other Parameters
1.	Rock Phosphate	Dry	3	RP-D-5	Grinding bowl: 80 ml Sample: 25 g Grinding balls: 25 x 10 mm Speed: 850 rpm
2.	Rock Phosphate	Wet (tap water)	3	RP-W-5	
3.	Rock Phosphate	Dry	30	RP-D-30	
4.	Rock Phosphate	Wet (tap water)	30	RP-W-30	
5.	Kieserite	Dry	5	Kie-D-5	Grinding Bowl: 80 ml Sample: 25 g Grinding Balls: 25 x 10 mm Speed: 700 rpm
6.	Kieserite	Wet (tap water)	5	Kie-W-5	
7.	Kieserite	Dry	5	Kie-D-5 (B)*	

**Table 2: Results of milling process of samples, photos and particle size distribution**

No.	Trial code	Output product (photo)	Particle size distribution	Remarks
0.	RP-raw (original sample)		-	Inhomogeneous particle size distribution (Majority ± 500 – 1000 µm)

1.	RP-D-5		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q3 (%)</th> <th>x (µm)</th> <th>CV (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>18.7</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90</td> <td>441.6</td> <td>133</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)	5	0.9	22	10	1.8	29	50	18.7	26	90	441.6	133	The entire milled sample represents micro scale particles. The fine particles cover the grinding balls and grinding bowl surfaces, indicating some moisture is contained in the sample.
Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)																	
5	0.9	22																	
10	1.8	29																	
50	18.7	26																	
90	441.6	133																	
2.	RP-W-5		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q3 (%)</th> <th>x (µm)</th> <th>CV (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>1.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90</td> <td>1115.6</td> <td>4.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)	5	0.3	28	10	0.6	1.2	50	8.0	36	90	1115.6	4.6	Wet milling using tap water shows a better result. About 5 % and 10 % of the milled product has an averaged nanoscale 300 nm respectively 600 nm.
Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)																	
5	0.3	28																	
10	0.6	1.2																	
50	8.0	36																	
90	1115.6	4.6																	
3.	RP-D-30		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q3 (%)</th> <th>x (µm)</th> <th>CV (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>4.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>6.4</td> <td>11.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90</td> <td>1139</td> <td>0.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)	5	0.5	-	10	0.7	4.5	50	6.4	11.9	90	1139	0.9	Longer milling = better results, finer particles.
Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)																	
5	0.5	-																	
10	0.7	4.5																	
50	6.4	11.9																	
90	1139	0.9																	
4.	RP-W-30		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q3 (%)</th> <th>x (µm)</th> <th>CV (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>3.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>8.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90</td> <td>986.4</td> <td>5.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)	5	0.4	14	10	0.5	3.3	50	1.8	8.6	90	986.4	5.2	Longer milling = better results. At 10 % of the milled product has 500 nm on average and at 50 % showed small micro scale particle of 1800 nm.
Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)																	
5	0.4	14																	
10	0.5	3.3																	
50	1.8	8.6																	
90	986.4	5.2																	
5.	Kie-D-5		The particle size of the output product cannot be analyzed.	The sample has granular size almost similar with the 10 mm grinding balls, cannot be ground totally, it requires a larger grinding ball size.															
6.	Kie-W-5		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Q3 (%)</th> <th>x (µm)</th> <th>CV (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>196</td> <td>136</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90</td> <td>1218</td> <td>5.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)	5	0.8	22	10	1.2	25	50	196	136	90	1218	5.9	Not suitable for wet milling using tap water. The product shows a crystal form, which could be another constituent of sample.
Q3 (%)	x (µm)	CV (%)																	
5	0.8	22																	
10	1.2	25																	
50	196	136																	
90	1218	5.9																	
7.	Kie-D-5 (B)*		Not analyzed																

**Questions and Issues:**

**1. Is it possible or not to grind the fertilizer samples and reach nano size particles less than (<) 100 nm?**

**Yes, it's possible, but this is challenging due the following:**

- ▲ Several steps of milling processes are needed with various size of grinding balls (20 mm to 0.1 mm), it could take longer (several hours)
- ▲ Various particle size distributions, cannot be uniform in desired nano size; all or larger particle sizes may still exist in a significant percentage.
- ▲ Product recovery: after milling, the next challenge is the separation of the milled sample from the small grinding balls - (for large grinding balls it is easy, but for very small grinding balls\* it could be difficult).  
\*High consumption of very small grinding balls
- ▲ For nano size milling, this should be wet milling, therefore a proper solvent must be used, water cannot be utilized for every type of fertilizer and other solvents such as Iso-Propanol etc. could mean additional costs.

**2. What is the capacity of milling we want?**

The **Planetary Mill PULVERISETTE 5 premium line** provides two stations of grinding bowls with 500 ml volume (largest capacity on the market). Each grinding bowl can be filled 1/3 part of the volume (± 170 gr sample). So, if we use two grinding bowls, the optimum capacity is about 170 x 2 = 340 g per milling process.



Fig 4: Planetary Mill PULVERISETTE 5 premium line, recommended for larger capacity milling

**3. What is the real purpose and goals?**

Consideration of the value vs. benefits obtained from this research and high investment costs.

**4. How do we handle and apply the product of nano fertilizers into the field?**

If the milling process is successful in getting and achieving the targeted nano size fertilizer sample, then the next challenge is applying the product in the field properly and safely for humans and the environment.

**About Nanotechnology and Nanomaterial**

Only established companies apply the technology since the investment costs are substantial with high quality and precision of research, such as IT, energy, paint and the coatings industry etc.

**Other photos**



Fig. 6: Kieserite fertilizer and grinding balls 10 mm



Fig 7: Grinding balls 0.1 mm and 10 mm



Fig. 8: German Centre, Singapore



Fig. 9: Lobby in the German Centre Singapore



Fig. 10: Diels Ding in the FRITSCH Laboratory



Fig. 11: FRITSCH Laboratory in the German Centre

11

**Author:** Diels Ding, Business Manager for Asia-Pacific, FRITSCH Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd.  
E-Mail: [info@fritsch.de](mailto:info@fritsch.de)